B

FILED SUPERIOR COURT HURSTON COUNTY, WASH.

08 JUL 22 PH 12: 28

BETTY J. GOULD, CLERK

BY\_\_\_\_\_\_DEPUTY

# SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR THURSTON COUNTY

CHARLES KELLOGG, dba BRITISH NORTHWEST LAND-ROVER COMPANY, a sole proprietorship,

Plaintiff,

V.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JOEL COMER and "JANE DOE" COMER, husband and wife,

Defendants.

No. 08-2-01716-5

COMPLAINT FOR MONEY DUE

COMES NOW Plaintiff, through its attorneys Jon E. Cushman and Cushman Law Offices, P.S., and for its Complaint against Defendants, states and alleges as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff Charles Kellogg, dba British Northwest Land-Rover Company, is a sole proprietorship and has performed all prerequisites necessary to bring this lawsuit. Charles Kellogg resides in Thurston County, Washington. British Northwest Land-Rover Company is located in and conducts business in Thurston County.
- 2. Defendants Joel Comer and "Jane Doe" Comer are husband and wife and residents of California. All acts performed by Joel Comer were done for the benefit of the marital community. Joel Comer conducted business with Charles Kellogg, dba British Northwest Land-Rover Company in Thurston County, Washington.
  - 3. Venue and jurisdiction are proper before this Court.
  - 4. On November 26, 2007, British Northwest Land-Rover Company entered into a contract

CUSHMAN LAW OFFICES, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 924 CAPITOL WAY SOUTH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501 (360) 534-9183 FAX: (360) 956-9795

2728

COMPLAINT FOR MONEY DUE - 1

1	to repair a Long wheel base 1978 Land-Rover, V.I.N. # LBCAH2AA119362, a vehicle owned by Mr.		
2	Comer.		
3	5. Mr. Comer has failed to pay for all the repairs. The total amount that is owe	l is	
4	\$8,063.17.		
5	WHEREFORE having stated its claims and causes of action, Plaintiff prays for the f	ollowing	
6	relief:		
7	1. For a judgment against Defendants Joel Comer and "Jane Doe" Comer in the	amount of	
8	\$8,083.17 plus pre-judgement and post-judgement interest;		
9	3. For attorneys fees and costs; and		
10	4. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.		
11	DATED this 21st day of July, 2008.		
12	CUSHMAN LAW OFFICES, P.S.		
13		•	
14			
15	Ryan W. Gunn, WSBA #39312 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	LAW OFFICES, P.S. OLYMPIA, W.	TTOL WAY SOUTH ASHINGTON 98501 AX: (360) 956-9795	

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF THURSTON

CHARLES KELLOGG, dba BRITISH NORTHWEST LAND-ROVER COMPANY, a sole proprietorship,

NO. 08-2-01716-5

Plaintiff,

FIRST AMENDED ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIMS

vs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JOEL COMER and "JANE DOE" COMER, husband and wife,

Defendants.

Defendants Joel and "Jane Doe" Comer ("Comer"), by and through their attorneys of record, Eisenhower & Carlson, PLLC, answer plaintiff's Complaint for Money Due ("Complaint"), assert affirmative defenses, and allege counterclaims as follows:

### I. ANSWER

- 1. In response to paragraph 1 of plaintiff's Complaint, Comer is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in the first 2 sentences. As for the last sentence, while plaintiff may conduct some of his business in Thurston County, Washington, based on his statements and website plaintiff conducts business well beyond the State of Washington.
- 2. In response to paragraph 2 of plaintiff's Complaint, Comer admits that he is married and denies the remaining allegations.
- 3. Paragraph 3 of plaintiff's Complaint is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Comer denies the allegations.

AMENDED ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS - !

EISENHOWER EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC

Washington Multiel Tower 1201 Tierd Avenue, Soite 1650 Seattle, WA 98101 Tel, 206,382 1830 For 206,387 1920

00404461.DOC



26

1

- 4. Paragraph 4 of plaintiff's Complaint is primarily a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, due to the manner presented by plaintiff, the allegations are denied. Comer states that plaintiff agreed to fix his automobile, which plaintiff failed to properly do, and that plaintiff made misrepresentations to induce Comer to allow plaintiff to work on it.
  - 5. In response to paragraph 5, Comer denies the allegations.
- 6. The balance of plaintiff's Complaint contains plaintiff's request for relief. Comer denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever. To the extent that these matters may be deemed to constitute averments of fact, they are denied.

## II. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiff's claims are barred for lack of personal jurisdiction.
- 2. Plaintiff's claims are barred for insufficiency of service of process.
- 3. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted.
  - 4. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part for lack of consideration.
- 5. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part for plaintiff's failure to satisfy conditions precedent.
- 6. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part due to plaintiff's prior breaches.
- 7. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part due to offset, as the damages sustained by plaintiff's breaches should be set off against the damages claimed by plaintiff.
  - 8. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by the Statute of Frauds.
- 9. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of waiver and/or estoppel.
  - 10. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of laches.
  - 11. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part due to fraud.

12. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part due to discharge.

13. Comer reserves the right to amend, add, or remove affirmative defenses as discovery unfolds. Additionally, Comer reserves the right to amend and supplement this Answer.

## III. COUNTERCLAIMS

### A. FACTS

- 1. Counterclaimant Joel Comer ("Mr. Comer") is an individual who resides in Kentfield, California.
- 2. Mr. Comer owns a 1978 Land Rover (the "Land Rover"). In 2007, Mr. Comer decided to refurbish the Land Rover.
- 3. Mr. Comer searched the Internet for businesses that specialize in refurbishing and servicing Land Rovers. Mr. Comer's search led him to British Northwest Land-Rover Company ("British Northwest Land-Rover"), which is the business trade name for the business owned and operated by Charles D. Kellogg.
- 4. British Northwest Land-Rover business is located in Olympia, Thurston County, Washington.
- 5. British Northwest Land-Rover's website proclaimed that Kellogg is an expert in refurbishing and servicing Land Rovers and actively solicited business from would-be consumers such as Mr. Comer. Among other things, British Northwest Land-Rover's website represents that British Northwest Land-Rover "save[s] prospective owners from headache and disaster....all over the United States, Canada, and Central America."
- 6. Mr. Comer communicated with British Northwest Land-Rover via telephone and email regarding the potential scope of work and cost associated with British Northwest Land-Rover refurbishing the Land Rover. Kellogg advised Mr. Comer that he and his company were "the best there is in the United States" for handling this type of work.

- 7. Mr. Comer's paramount concern was the Land Rover's engine. British Northwest Land-Rover advised Mr. Comer that it would inspect the engine and advise Mr. Comer regarding the need for a complete overhaul as opposed to the installation of a new engine—a less costly option.
- 8. In November 2007, British Northwest Land-Rover emailed a written "Authorization" to Mr. Comer in California. The Authorization, prepared by British Northwest Land-Rover, estimated costs at \$6,000.00 \$8,000.00 based on preliminary findings but qualified such estimate as a "wild guess". The Authorization stated that Mr. Comer was relying on British Northwest Land-Rover's "expertise and judgment" to bring the Land Rover up to "very good operating condition" and "first-class operating condition". The Authorization ends with a representation that as of November 18, 2007, the estimate is now "considered unrealistic and will certainly need to be revised upwards..." British Northwest Land-Rover never provided an updated written estimate.
- 9. Based on British Northwest Land-Rover's representations and warranties regarding its experience, expertise, and the scope of his proposed inspection, Mr. Comer executed the Authorization. Thereafter, with British Northwest Land-Rover's referral and assistance, Mr. Comer shipped the Land Rover to British Northwest Land-Rover in Olympia, Washington.
- 10. British Northwest Land-Rover held the Land Rover for more than three months, invoicing Mr. Comer nine times for services allegedly rendered and parts allegedly installed. British Northwest Land-Rover delivered the invoices to Mr. Comer in California, and Mr. Comer timely paid the invoices, often returning a check via Federal Express in order to expedite payment. In all, Mr. Comer paid British Northwest Land-Rover approximately \$35,000.00 pursuant to invoice.
- 11. By late February 2008, British Northwest Land-Rover claimed to have completed refurbishment of the Land Rover. On or about March 1, 2008, Mr. Comer took receipt of the

AMENDED ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS - 4

EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC

Land Rover in California. Mr. Comer immediately began experiencing problems with the Land Rover, including, but not limited to, oil and gas leaks.

- 12. British Northwest Land-Rover referred Mr. Comer to another Land Rover specialist named Auto Europa British & European Repair ("Auto Europa"), located in San Francisco, California.
- 13. A mechanic at Auto Europa inspected Mr. Comer's Land Rover, reviewed British Northwest Land-Rover's invoices, and advised Mr. Comer that much of the work for which Mr. Comer had been billed and had paid was either not done or was poorly performed. In fact, Auto Europa advised that some of British Northwest Land-Rover's work caused additional damage to the Land Rover.
- 14. Mr. Comer has incurred costs in excess of \$15,000.00 to complete and/or correct the work for which he paid British Northwest Land-Rover. To date, in spite of Mr. Comer's request, British Northwest Land-Rover has refused to refund any of the money paid pursuant to the above-referenced invoices.

# B. FIRST COUNTERCLAIM: FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT

- 15. Mr. Comer realleges paragraphs 1 through 14.
- 16. British Northwest Land-Rover made oral and written representations to Mr.

  Comer regarding its experience, expertise, and the scope of work likely necessary to refurbish the Land Rover in order to induce Mr. Comer to sign the Authorization.
- 17. British Northwest Land-Rover knew-in fact encouraged-Mr. Comer to rely on such representations while knowing the falsity or ignorance of such representations' truth.
- 18. British Northwest Land-Rover made such representations intending that Mr. Comer rely thereupon and execute the Authorization.
- 19. Mr. Comer relied upon British Northwest Land-Rover's representations, unaware of their falsity. As a consumer with little, if any, expertise in the refurbishment of Land Rovers, Mr. Comer was entitled to rely upon British Northwest Land-Rover's representations.

22

23

24

25

26

As a result of his reliance upon British Northwest Land-Rover's false 20. representations, Mr. Comer executed the Authorization and was consequently damaged thereby in an amount to be proven at trial.

#### SECOND COUNTERCLAIM: NEGLIGENCE C.

- 21. Mr. Comer realleges paragraph 1 through 20.
- British Northwest Land-Rover had a duty to Comer to use ordinary care and to 22. perform its services in a manner in which an ordinary prudent person engaged in repair of automobiles would have performed the services under the same or similar circumstances.
- British Northwest Land-Rover failed to perform its services in a manner in which 23. an ordinary prudent auto-repair person would when British Northwest Land-Rover failed to perform certain services for which it billed (and for which Mr. Comer paid), when Kellogg performed other services poorly and in a manner inconsistent with industry standards, and when British Northwest Land-Rover performed services that caused damage to the Land Rover.
- British Northwest Land-Rover's negligence proximately caused damages to Mr. 24. Comer in an amount to be proven at trial including, but not limited to, costs incurred in repairing British Northwest Land-Rover's defective work and performing services for which British Northwest Land-Rover billed but did not perform.

#### THIRD COUNTERCLAIM: PER SE VIOLATION OF THE CONSUMER D. PROTECTION ACT, RCW 19.86

- 25. Mr. Comer realleges paragraphs 1 through 24.
- British Northwest Land-Rover operates an automotive repair facility as defined in 26. the Automotive Repair Act, Chapter 46.71 RCW ("ARA").
- British Northwest Land-Rover engaged in unlawful acts or practices under the 27. ARA by failing to provide a true estimate of costs and services before commencing work, by failing to obtain Mr. Comer's consent to exceed the initial written estimate before commencing work, and/or by retaining Mr. Comer's payment for services that British Northwest Land-Rover did not actually perform.

AMENDED ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS - 6

EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC

22

23

24

25

26

29. Under the CPA, Mr. Comer is entitled to damages resulting from British Northwest Land-Rover's violation of the CPA in an amount to be proven at trial, treble damages up to \$10,000.00, and his reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this claim.

# E. FOURTH COUNTERCLAIM: VIOLATION OF THE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, RCW 19.86

- 30. Mr. Comer realleges Paragraphs 1 through 29.
- 31. British Northwest Land-Rover advertises that its restorations demonstrate meticulous workmanship and the highest standards available.
- 32. By failing to perform all of the services contracted for and/or by performing services in a manner that damaged the Land Rover, British Northwest Land-Rover engaged in an unfair or deceptive act or practice.
- 33. British Northwest Land-Rover's unfair or deceptive act or practice impacts the public interest.
- 34. British Northwest Land-Rover's unfair or deceptive act or practice occurred in trade or commerce.
- 35. British Northwest Land-Rover's unfair or deceptive act or practice directly and proximately caused damage to Mr. Comer in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 36. Under the CPA, in addition to damages, Mr. Comer is entitled to an award of treble damages up to \$10,000.00, plus his reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this claim.

# F. FIFTH COUNTERCLAIM: BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 37. Mr. Comer realleges paragraphs 1 through 36.
- 38. British Northwest Land-Rover contracted to perform certain services and to install certain parts on the Land Rover in exchange for Mr. Comer's pledge to pay for such services and parts.

AMENDED ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS - 7

EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC

Washington Multini Yower 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 1650 Seattle, WA 98101 Tel: 206,382 1830

24

25

26

- 39. Mr. Comer timely paid British Northwest Land-Rover approximately \$35,000.00 for services and parts allegedly provided and installed.
- 40. Upon receipt of the Land Rover, Mr. Comer determined that British Northwest Land-Rover had billed for (and Mr. Comer had paid for) services not performed and parts not installed.
- 41. As a direct result of British Northwest Land-Rover's breach of contract, Mr. Comer has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

## IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, having fully answered plaintiff's Complaint, asserted affirmative defenses, and alleged counterclaims, Comer requests the following relief:

- 1. An Order dismissing British Northwest Land-Rover's claims with prejudice and without costs;
- 2. A judgment against Charles D. Kellogg, individually, and d/b/a British Northwest Land-Rover Company, for fraud in the inducement, negligence, per se violation of the Consumer Protection Act, violation of the Consumer Protection Act, and breach of contract.
- 3. An award of Comer's attorney's fees and costs incurred in defending against
  British Northwest Land-Rover's Complaint pursuant to RCW 4.84.185 and/or CR 11, or as may
  be allowed by other statute, contract or equity;
- 4. An award of treble damages up to \$10,000.00 pursuant to the Consumer Protection Act; and
  - 5. For such further relief as this Court deems equitable and just. DATED this  $12^{\frac{1}{2}}$  day of February, 2009.

EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC

By:

Mike S. DeLeo, WSBA #22037 L. Clay Selby, WSBA #26049 Attorneys for Defendants Comer

AMENDED ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS - 8

EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC

EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC

Fee: 206-1822 1920

Fee: 206-1822 1920

Washington Mutual Tower 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 1650 Sebtile, WA 98101 Tel. 206 382, 1930 Fax: 706, 382, 1930 İ

2

3

4

\$

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1,5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## **VERIFICATION**

Joel Comer declares and states as follows:

I am one of the defendants and the counterclaimant herein. I have read the foregoing First Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims, know the contents thereof, and believe the same to be true.

I declare under the penalty of perjury and the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED at [LEUTHEL], California this 5 day of February, 2009.

Joel Comer

AMENDED ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS - 9

00404461.DOC

EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC

Vishington March Town 1201 Three Archite, Spile 1450 Season, Wa. 98101 Tirk 10v. 162-1630 For Soc. 182-1630

1			
2		The Honorable Richard D. Hicks	
3			
4			
5			
6	DAMAN GAMBBAGB GOVING		
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF THURSTON		
9	CHARLES KELLOGG, dba BRITISH NORTHWEST LAND-ROVER COMPAN sole proprietorship,	Y, a NO. 08-2-01716-5	
10	Plaintif	f, AFFIDAVIT OF FACSIMILE	
11	VS.	SIGNATURE	
12 13	JOEL COMER and "JANE DOE" COMER husband and wife,	,	
14	Defendant	s.	
15			
16 17	STATE OF WASHINGTON ) ) ss. County of Pierce		
18		st duly sworn upon oath, deposes and says:	
19	I am a legal assistant at the lager	aw firm of Eisenhower & Carlson, PLLC and make	
20	this affidavit pursuant to GR 17(a)(2), based upon my own personal knowledge and review of		
21	this firm's records and files.		
22	2. On Thursday, February 5, 20	009, I received via facsimile the executed page nine	
23	for the foregoing Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims from Joel		
24	Comer.		
25	3. I believe the signature of Joe	el Comer is a true and correct signature pursuant to	
26			
	AFFIDAVIT OF FACSIMILE SIGNATURE - I	TO TO SE TO TO TOTAL TO Machinestico Multer force	
	00406258.DOC	EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC  EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC  Fac 206 382 1920	

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me on this 2 day of February, 2009.



Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at: 10ComC My Appointment Expires: 8-8-11

AFFIDAVIT OF FACSIMILE SIGNATURE - 2

00406258.DOC

EISENHOWER SCARLSON, PLLC
EISENHOWER & CARLSON, PLLC
Face 200, 182 1930
Face 200, 182 1930
Face 200, 182 1930

HURSTON COUNTY, WASH. 1 O EXPEDITE 03 MAY 21 PM 3:51 ☐ Hearing is set: 2 Date:/Time: BETTY J. GOULD, CLERK Calendar/Judge: Civil/Hicks 3 4 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON NO. 08-2-01716-5 FOR THURSTON COUNTY 9 CHARLES KELLOGG d/b/a BRITISH ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM 10 NORTHWEST LAND-ROVER COMPANY, a sole proprietorship 11 Plaintiff, 1.2 V5. 13 JOEL COMER and "JANE DOE" COMER, 14 husband and wife, Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff Charles Kellogg d/b/a British Northwest Land-Rover Company, by and through his 17 attorney Ben D. Cushman of Cushman Law Offices, P.S., answers Defendants' Counterclaim as follows: 18 I. RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' ANSWER 19 In response, Plaintiff reallges the allegations in his Complaint. 20 IL ANSWER TO AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 21 22 As to Defendants' Affirmative Defenses, Plaintiff denies them all. 23 III. ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS 24 Admit. 1. 25 Admit. 2. 26 Deny for lack of knowledge. 3. 27 924 CAPITOL WAY SOUTH ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM - 1 CUSHMAN 28 Olympia, Washington 98501 LAW OFFICES, P.S. (360) 534-9183 FAX: (360) 956-9795 ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	4.	Admit.
2	5.	Admit.
3	6.	Admit contact; Deny balance for lack of knowledge.
4	7.	Deny.
5	8.	Admit quoted language; Deny description of presentation and characterization of
6 7	document.	
8	9.	Deny reliance; Admit shipping.
9	10.	Deny full payment; Admit balance.
10	11.	Admit return of vehicle; Deny balance.
11	12.	Admit referral for inspection purposes.
12	13.	Deny for lack of knowledge.
13	14.	Deny.
14	15.	Reallege the answers above
15		
16	16.	Deny.
17 18	17.	Deny.
19	18.	Deny.
20	19.	Deny.
21	20.	Deny.
22	21.	Reallege the answers above.
23	22.	Deny undue economic loss rule.
24	23.	Deny.
25	24.	Deny.
26	25.	Reallege the answers above.
27	ANIGHTED TO	COLINTER CLAIM - 2 CUSHMAN 924 CAPITOL WAY SOUTH
28	ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM - 2  LAW OFFICES, P.S.  ACTIORNEYS AT LAW  (360) 534-9183 FAX: (360) 956-97	

1	26.	Admit.		
2	27.	Deny.		
3	28.	Deny.		
4	29.	Deny.		
5	30.	Reallege the answers above.		
6   7	31.	Admit.		
8	32.	Deny.		
9	33.	Deny.		
10				
11	34.	Deny.		
12	35.	Deny.		
13	36.	Deny.	·	
14	37.	Reallege the answers above.		
15	38.	Admit.		
16	39.	Deny full and timely payment.		
17	40.	Deny.		
18	41.	Deny.		
19	As to Defendants' Prayer for Relief, Plaintiff denies it in its entirety.			
20		AFFIRMATIV		
21				
22	1.	Failure to state a claim in tort under the		
23	2.	Defendants' claims are barred by the	Doctrine of Laches.	
24	3.	Speculation of evidence.		
25	4.	Offset for breach of Contract.		
26	5.	Fault of nonparty/injury by nonparty.	•	
27			CUSHMAN	924 Capitol Way South
28	ANSWER TO	) COUNTERCLAIM - 3	LAW OFFICES, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501 (360) 534-9183 FAX: (360) 956-9795

1	6.	Waiver of warranty and other claims by unauthorized work.		
2	7.	Failure to satisfy conditions precedent to contract claims.		
3	8.	Failure to mitigate.		
4	9.	Self-inflicted injury.		
5		PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
6	Plaint	iff prays for the following relief:		
7	1.	The relief sought in the Complaint in this matter.		
8 9				
10	2.	For dismissal of Defendants' counterclaims.		
11	3.	For fees and costs as allowed under the Contract and pursuant to RCW 4.84.250300.		
12	4.	For such other and further relief as may be granted by the Court.		
13	DATI	ED this2 _ <sup>122</sup> _ day of May 2009.		
14		CUSHMAN LAW OFFICES, P.S.		
15		A second		
16		Ben D. Cushman, WSBA # 26358		
17		Attorney for Plaintiff		
18				
19				
20		· ·		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
27				
ا ے	ı			